1	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	HOUSTON DIVISION
4	IN RE: \$ CASE NO. 22-90341-11 \$ JOINTLY ADMINISTERED
5	CORE SCIENTIFIC, INC., \$ HOUSTON, TEXAS ET AL, \$ THURSDAY,
6	\$ JUNE 29, 2023 DEBTORS. \$ 1:30 P.M. TO 2:02 P.M.
7	
8	STATUS CONFERENCE (VIA ZOOM)
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID R. JONES UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
LO	
L1	
L2	APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE
L3	
L 4	(Recorded via CourtSpeak)
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L 9	
20	TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:
21	JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC
22	935 Eldridge Road, #144 Sugar Land, TX 77478
23	281-277-5325 www.judicialtranscribers.com
24	
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.
- 0	dramourpo produced by cramourperon bervice.

1 APPEARANCES (VIA ZOOM): 2 3 FOR THE DEBTORS: WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP Ray Schrock, Esq. 4 Roni Berkovich, Esq. 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153-0119 5 212-310-8000 6 FOR THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE 7 OF UNSECURED CREDITORS: WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER, LLP Brett Miller, Esq. 8 787 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019-6099 9 212-728-8000 10 FOR THE AD HOC COMMITTEE OF CONVERTIBLE NOTEHOLDERS: PAUL HASTINGS Kris Hansen, Esq. 11 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 12 212-318-6000 13 FOR BARINGS: 14 ARNOLD PORTER Brian J. Lohan, Esq. 15 70 West Madison Street Suite 4200 16 Chicago, IL 60602-4231 17 FOR THE EQUITY COMMITTEE: VINSON & ELKINS David S. Meyer, Esq. 18 1114 Avenue of the Americas 32nd Floor New York, NY 10036 19 212-237-0000 20 21 22 (Please also see Electronic Appearances.) 23 24 25

HOUSTON, TEXAS; THURSDAY, JUNE 29, 2023; 1:30 P.M.

THE COURT: This is Judge Jones. The time is 1:30 Central. Today is June the 29th, 2023. This is the Docket for Houston, Texas.

On the 1:30 Docket, we have the jointly administered cases under Case No. 22-90341, Core Scientific, Inc.

Folks, please don't forget to record your electronic appearance. That's a quick trip to the website, a couple of mouse clicks, you can do that at any time prior to the conclusion of this afternoon's hearing.

First time that you speak, if you would, please state your name and who you represent. That really does help the court reporters in the event that a transcript request is made.

For those parties who are in the courtroom, if you choose to speak, if you would, please come to the lectern so that everyone can both see you and hear you.

For those folks who are on GoToMeeting, I have activated the hand-raising feature. If you haven't already done so, know you're going to be speaking, if you could give me a five star, I'll get you unmuted. If you change your mind during the hearing, you can, again, hit five star at any time.

Finally, we are recording this afternoon using

```
CourtSpeak. We'll get the audio up on the Docket shortly
1
 2
   after the conclusion of the hearing this afternoon.
 3
              I've got a couple of people that have raised their
   hands.
 4
 5
              All right. Mr. Schrock, are you starting us off
 6
   this afternoon?
7
              MR. SCHROCK: I am, Your Honor. Ray Schrock, Weil
   Gotshal, counsel for the Debtors. I'll be kicking it off
8
9
   and good afternoon.
10
              First of all, Your Honor, thanks for allowing us
   to appear electronically. It was a very strange thing.
11
12
    I've never had this happen, but we actually couldn't get
    flights Wednesday or Thursday. It was a bizarre thing that
13
14
   was occurring with all the cancellations, so we appreciate
15
    the accommodation.
              THE COURT: Certainly.
16
17
              MR. SCHROCK: We did coordinate with the other
18
   parties on the zoom appearance, as well.
19
              So Your Honor, I'm going to start off. We did
20
   file a demonstrative --
              THE COURT: So with the --
21
              MR. SCHROCK: -- that we'd like to --
22
23
              THE COURT: -- if it helps you, I've looked at the
24
    PowerPoint. I've also read the Plan and Disclosure
```

Statement.

```
MR. SCHROCK: Okay, perfect.
 1
 2
             Would you like me to put it up, Your Honor, for
 3
   others? Or I'm happy not to.
 4
              THE COURT: Are you going to do it yourself?
 5
             MR. SCHROCK: No. No, Your Honor. I was going to
 6
   ask my colleague, Austin Crabtree, to put it up.
 7
              THE COURT: Of course. I was going to say, yes, I
 8
   want to -- I want to see -- I want everyone to see the
 9
   PowerPoint. I was just going to be amazed if you were going
10
   to ask for control.
             MR. SCHROCK: No. No, no, Your Honor. I wouldn't
11
12
   do that.
13
             THE COURT: And Mr. Crabtree, you should have
14
   control at this point.
15
             MR. SCHROCK: Great. Austin, if you could put up
   the presentation?
16
17
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
18
              THE COURT: Okay. He's got control. I just
19
   checked again.
20
             MR. SCHROCK: Okay. We have a backup plan just in
21
    case if Cliff has to do it. Okay, there we go.
22
             THE COURT: There we go.
23
             MR. SCHROCK: Perfect. Okay. If you could flip
   to the next page, please, Austin?
24
25
             Okay. So here's what we're going to cover today:
```

Plan negotiations, a summary of the plan treatment, a liquidity update, as well as next steps, Your Honor.

So Austin, please flip one more.

So overall, I'd say we've had a busy last several weeks since we were last in front of Your Honor. We, you know, did present the business plan, have gotten, you know, feedback from all the major constituent groups.

As you know, we had the exclusivity hearing and following that we did submit the consensual plan framework to the key stakeholder groups. We first met with the advisors and then later we were able to meet with all of the principles, as well, and you know, we do have those parties restricted at this point.

You know, there was a lot of back-and-forth, you know, among the advisors, but on June 16th -- you know, we did receive some feedback prior to that point, but June 16th we submitted a draft of the Plan to the key stakeholder groups' advisors. We filed the Plan and Disclosure Statement on the 20th, consistent with the goal that Your Honor had set and that we appreciate, frankly, for just keeping the cases moving.

That Plan and Disclosure Statement does reflect, as you noticed, there's two options, you know, with regard to the convertible noteholders. There's a cram up plan and there's a consensual plan. Why did we file that? I guess

is one, you know, consistent -- or one question that people may have.

We're going to try really, really hard to get to a consensual plan, Your Honor, and I want to emphasize that.

We did reserve time with Judge Isgur for later in July in the event that we can't get there, but unless somebody else is aware of, you know, how to handle when you believe there's equity value at a company and you've got secured creditors that aren't onboard, it's really your option.

And we are in a unique situation where we're coming out of a period of, you know, great turmoil for bitcoin and for this particular asset and we're going to have to see, you know, how open the capital markets are, you know, for this company.

TKT is already out there, you know, doing -- you know, putting together capital raise efforts that's, you know, in process. But overall, our fundamental belief is that there's equity value, you know, and that with the rise in the bitcoin price with, you know, where the company has come, compared to where it started, and we'll take you through some of that.

We think there's very little doubt, listen, there is equity value here. We're going to have to find a way, frankly, to get all of the constituents to accept, you know, some compromises around how are we going to -- you know, we

want -- we would very much like the company deleveraged so it doesn't end up in this situation again, if it doesn't -- you know, if it doesn't have -- the bitcoin price goes down or some other adverse event.

But fundamentally we have to get the parties to focus on it and get in a room.

So we've gotten responses back so far from the Equity Committee. We've got a response back from the UCC. I'm relatively optimistic that at the end of the day we're going to have consensus with those two groups. The equipment lenders, there's a good dialog and a back-and-forth. I think that, you know, relatively optimistic we could find a solution there, as well.

The convertible noteholders are, you know, probably going to be the most challenging party for us to reach consensus with, but I do think, you know, we're being very creative in terms of what we're thinking about. I know that, you know, I have great respect for my friend,

Mr. Hansen and I know that he's reasonable at the end of the day. And if there's any way to try and bridge the gap, either through, you know, true-up mechanisms, the company just doesn't want, obviously, to leave all the value and have people recover more than 100 cents.

I think, you know, Mr. Hansen's perspective, I'm sure he'll give you, but you know, they have a different

view of value and they don't want to, you know, be left with something less than 100 cents if we believe there is equity.

So that's where the rub is. I would prefer not to have the novel valuation, you know, bitcoin mining company trial, if we can avoid it, but if we have to, we're prepared to go down that road. We are working really hard to get, you know, consensus where we can and I think that at the end of the day, I don't want to just run the mediation and just have, you know, Judge Isgur say, "Listen, here we are." I'd really like to try and narrow the differences at least before we get in a room with him. But I think getting the parties frankly in person and getting people focused, you know, at the end of next month, that's going to be what really -- you know, if there's a way to turn the corner and get people to a consensus, that's what's going to do it.

But we've been busy at work. We are going to be prepared to file the motion to approve the Disclosure Statement by the middle of this month because we want to keep the timing that we've set, which is to still trying to emerge by the middle -- or you know, by the end of September certainly.

But we're not disillusioned in that we think that we know we still have work to do with these parties. The good news is the company is doing well.

THE COURT: Let me ask --

```
MR. SCHROCK: Sorry, Your Honor, did you want to
1
   say something?
 2
 3
              THE COURT: No, I was just again, because I want
 4
   to -- I want to be helpful to the process. Would it be
 5
   helpful to give you a hearing date for the Disclosure
 6
    Statement?
7
              MR. SCHROCK: Yes, it would, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: What were you thinking?
8
 9
              MR. SCHROCK: You know, Ms. Berkovich, do you want
10
    to help me out there with -- you know, I know we were
11
   planning for a mid-July filing and we did have a -- hold on
    just a second.
12
13
              THE COURT: No, I asked a bad question.
14
              Ms. Berkovich, what was Mr. Schrock thinking?
15
         (Laughter)
              MS. BERKOVICH: Your Honor, if we can get to an
16
   early consensual plan and DS by mid-July, I think the first
17
18
   week in August is the week that they're doing, beginning
19
    July 31st would be a good week for the Disclosure Statement
20
   hearing.
21
              THE COURT: Do you want the Disclosure Statement
22
   hearing on July 31st?
23
              MR. SCHROCK: I think that's a little too early.
24
              THE COURT: Okay.
25
              MR. SCHROCK: I think if we could get, Your Honor,
```

2.3

like around August 3rd or certainly the week following, that would be sufficient.

THE COURT: And let me ask, again, Disclosure Statement hearings don't typically generate that many sparks. I am happy to do it later that week.

I have a Laredo Docket on Thursday, the 3rd, so we could do it by video on the 3rd, if that would work for everybody. I could also do it on the 7th, if that is preferable.

MR. SCHROCK: I will just go ahead and say, Your Honor, just given where we are at the moment, to avoid everybody else piping in and suggesting the 7th, I'll suggest the 7th just in the event that we don't have -- in the event we don't have consensus.

THE COURT: All right. Has anyone got a scheduling conflict for the 7th that can't be managed?

(No audible response.)

THE COURT: All right. Then why don't we do this?

Mr. Schrock, I'll go ahead and schedule a hearing on the

Disclosure Statement that is filed, as it may be

subsequently amended, for August the 7th, 2023 at -- do you

want late in the day or early in the morning, or at lunch

time?

MR. SCHROCK: Lunch time would be great, Your Honor, you know, shortly after lunch.

```
THE COURT: Then if I did it at noon my time that
 1
 2
    would be 1:00 o'clock for the folks on the East Coast.
    Would that work?
 3
 4
              MR. SCHROCK: That's fine for me, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Then go ahead and notice
 6
    it for August the 7th at noon Central.
 7
              MR. SCHROCK: Will do, Your Honor, and thank you
 8
   very much.
 9
              THE COURT: All right.
10
              MR. SCHROCK: So I'm probably going to have some
11
    comments at the end of the Status Conference, Your Honor,
12
   but I want to hand the lectern, absent any more questions,
13
    over to Ms. Berkovich.
14
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15
              Ms. Berkovich, good afternoon.
              MS. BERKOVICH: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Roni
16
    Berkovich from Weil Gotshal for the Debtor.
17
18
              Moving on to Slide 6.
              I know Your Honor read the Plan and Disclosure
19
20
    Statement, so we don't need to delve into details here, but
21
    really the theory of our plan is to provide a plan that
22
    hopefully gets the consensus with everyone, but provides a
2.3
    consensual path for emergence if we can't reach consensus
    (indiscernible) with the secured noteholders.
24
25
              You know, we need a way out of Chapter 11.
```

There's two classes of noteholders, the "April Notes" and "August Notes," as we call them. They have slightly different rates. We classified them separately. And for each of those classes, if they accept the Plan, they get their treatment 50 percent in equity and 50 percent in a new note, you know, total paid for them. But if either of those classes reject the Plan, the Plan provides for standard cram up notes for the full value of the claim.

The default treatment for everyone else, or (indiscernible) actually, simply reflect the priorities that the Bankruptcy Code tells us and provides a waterfall value. DIP claims are on top, they get paid in full. Every secured creditors gets the present value of their secured claims and they get to keep their collateral.

There are many different classes of secured creditors here. Beyond the convertible noteholders, we have our binding equipment lenders, we have our non-binding equipment lenders, we have credit mechanic's lien noteholders, and we have mortgage claims, so all of them get their Bankruptcy Code extended treatment.

Then unsecured creditors receive a 100 percent recovery in the form of new equity and only after all non-priority creditors -- or I should say, all non-subordinated creditors are paid in full to the value -- the residual value disclosed to equity holders and if there are

any subordinated creditors.

As Mr. Schrock said, we do expect there to be value and so equity does get a recovery here.

In addition, as Mr. Schrock said, we tried to be creative here and we understand that creditors may have different interests in terms of what type of consideration they receive, but some of the classes have options and will receive different treatment. There is a default treatment, you know, mandated by the Bankruptcy Code, but there's also option to select the different form of consideration for a slightly lesser claim and the like.

We really tried -- are trying to save as many people as possible here, (indiscernible) satisfy Bankruptcy Code requirements.

THE COURT: I appreciate the approach. It was an enjoyable read.

MS. BERKOVICH: Good. The next couple of slides get into very much detail about the Plan treatment. Your Honor has read the Plan and I'm sure that everyone on this call has read the Plan. I don't know that we need to get into each one, but I'm happy to do so, if the Court would like.

THE COURT: I think that you've given everybody -if people want to know more, again, the documents are there.
We're early on in the process. If you want to go through

it, I'm happy for you to do that for folks, but I don't need
it.

MS. BERKOVICH: Okay. No, I don't think it's necessary because we've been having lots of conversations with all the major stakeholders. We've also had smaller creditors reach out to us and we're taking all calls, you know, want to know about the question about the plan details, please feel free to call Mr. Schrock, me, Mr. Carlson, who is on the line, too. We're happy to talk to you.

THE COURT: And again, for those folks who are on the video, if you want a copy of the PowerPoint, it can be found at Docket Entry No. 1009.

Sorry for the interruption.

MS. BERKOVICH: No, we can also acknowledge. So next we go to Slide 10.

You know, there are different ways of showing that the business is doing well, as Mr. Schrock said. Here we show just some of the company's cash position and this slide is an update for something we showed the Court previously that really shows that we are doing well, as compared to our initial forecast in December.

Not only that, but we've updated the budget periodically and we've exceeded all of our budget in the cash position, so you know, it's (indiscernible) even though

the expected (indiscernible) has been extended by four months, or rejected ended in liquidated, it's forecast to be \$48 million greater than our initial budget, when excluding the impacts of DIP draws and payments.

And we're pleased to report that we have not had to draw on the DIP any further in support in terms of the replacement DIP in early February. We've actually retained the DIP in the last few months (glitch in the audio) excess cash.

THE COURT: Ms. Berkovich --

MS. BERKOVICH: Yes. Yes, Your Honor?

THE COURT: No, my apologies. I was just going to say, the improvements or the improvement that has occurred, is it just solely due to rise in price of the commodity or have there been structural changes that the expense structure is now much better, or both?

MS. BERKOVICH: Your Honor, it's primarily as a result of increased receipts from self-mining through the factors we discussed last time that impact how much we get from our mining of the bitcoin, as well as very importantly the decrease in power disbursements with much favorable interview pricing since the beginning of the case, as well as obviously always, the good management of the company that there haven't been many structural changes to the business.

There have been a few, we mentioned some in our

Disclosure Statement, some changes in the way we contract, for example, with our existing customers and overall it's been moved to factors.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MS. BERKOVICH: And let's go to the next step, (glitch in the audio) presentation (glitch in the audio).

Again, we're going to continue with our daily conversations with all of our major stakeholders and minor stakeholders. We hope -- if necessary, we'll go to mediation to resolve remaining disputes. So until we get there, we've got a date there -- you know, having a date just like Your Honor gave us a date to file the Plan, that was very helpful and you know, having those couple of nights around the clock to get the Plan on file and I think having a date for mediation will cause people to work hard to try to get to the date before then.

And we will file our Disclosure Statement and be back before Your Honor on August 7th for approval.

You know, that bring us to confirmation, you know, early to mid-September with a hopeful exit by the end of the first quarter.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MS. BERKOVICH: That concludes my presentation, unless Your Honor has any further questions.

THE COURT: I don't, but thank you.

```
1
              MR. SCHROCK: Other parties want to talk to you,
    to be heard, Your Honor, so we're happy to turn over the
 2
 3
    podium.
 4
              THE COURT: No, of course, thank you. I just
 5
    didn't know if there was anything else the Debtors wanted to
 6
    address.
 7
              Let me ask, let's see. Somebody raised their
 8
   hand.
 9
              Ah, Mr. Willkie?
10
         (No audible response.)
              THE COURT: Ah, had you hit five star on your
11
12
    phone or do you have me double safety?
13
         (No audible response.)
14
              THE COURT: It's become my standard question.
15
              MR. MILLER: Can you hear me now?
16
              THE COURT: I can. Thank you.
17
              I always wanted to meet Mr. Willkie.
18
              MR. MILLER: Brett Miller -- he's been long dead,
19
   but I'm here in his place today. Brett Miller, Willkie Farr
20
    & Gallagher. I'm not quite sure why it automatically pops
    up as Willkie in the box, but for the Official Committee of
21
22
    Unsecured Creditors.
23
              We have been working with the Debtors regarding
24
   negotiations, regarding the business plan. We've provided
25
    comments to the Plan. Some of our comments made it into the
```

filed draft; others remain on an open list.

2.3

And we absolutely agree with the comments that creditors should be paid in full. I mean, I support Mr. Schrock and Ms. Berkovich completely that it's 100-cent case, but the devil is in the details and getting there is going to be interesting regarding valuation, regarding currency.

We did make a counter-proposal regarding the proposed note that's in the draft plan, which, you know, we're happy to sit down further and work out the details on that one, but the Committee does see the value here. Your Honor is correct, the bitcoin pricing has helped and as Ms. Berkovich just said, the other factors make this a real solid case for hundred cents to creditors and something left over for equity.

I'll let Mr. Hansen speak for his clients, but we also have opened up the dialog with them, as well as with the Equity Committee, and the Equipment Lenders. So the Creditors Committee is open for business in speaking with everyone and hope to facilitate getting a deal done as quickly as possible.

THE COURT: I really appreciate the comments.

MR. MILLER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Because everyone is going to have to figure out how to manage uncertainty in this because it can

```
just move really far really quick, and so I appreciate
1
 2
   everybody being flexible and creative in trying to figure
 3
   out how to deal with that uncertainty. But thank you.
 4
              Mr. Autry, you raised your hand?
 5
              MR. AUTRY: Your Honor, I was just demonstrating
 6
   my technical -- my lack of technical skills, I apologize.
7
              THE COURT: No, you should have said I was just
   testing in case I has something important to say. I would
8
 9
   have enjoyed that.
10
              Anyone else have comments they want to make?
              Mr. Hansen, or Mr. Lohan, I'll come back to you.
11
12
              MR. HANSEN: Yes, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead, please.
              Good afternoon.
14
15
              MR. HANSEN: Can you -- Good afternoon, Your
16
   Honor. Can you hear me okay?
17
              THE COURT: Loud and clear and thank you for
18
   checking.
19
              MR. HANSEN: Okay. Kris Hansen with Paul Hastings
20
   on behalf of the Ad Hoc Committee of Convertible
21
   Noteholders, Your Honor.
22
              Your Honor, I'd just say that we obviously echo
23
   the Debtors' sentiments. We'd like nothing more than an
24
    agreeable deal where we all come back to you and present a
25
    Plan that everybody is on board with, or most people are on
```

board with, and we can proceed to confirmation.

I think the problem that we're having on our end is we're struggling a little bit. You know, the valuation — the business plan and the valuation that flows from the business plan, the exit in the Plan of Reorganization that was filed has equity in (indiscernible) and if you look at the Plan, right, it basically says that everybody consents to it and if you don't, we'll cram you down.

And on the cram down side, especially when you think about what the Debtor purports as a solvent entity, cramming down looks like we'll have an 1129(b) compliant debt from a convertible note perspective is one very interesting aspect.

Another angle that's interesting is the Plan contemplates needing new capital in order to at least partially pay debt service. So in the context of that, it really raises some interesting questions around the business plan value. And the business plan itself is really only applicable in the consensual sense. If there's a cram down here, and those debt service costs rise dramatically across the capital structure, there kind of needs to be a new business plan.

And so that's something that we need to evaluate, too.

And as I said, Your Honor, one of the struggles

```
that we're having in terms of responding to the Debtor --
and again, just trying to be really open with Your Honor --
is that we're struggling because it's not as easy as saying,
"Oh, well, why don't we just adjust a little bit here or
there on our treatment?"
```

As you can tell, there are an awful lot of classes and creditors around this capital structure and as

Mr. Miller just pointed out, the Debtor is saying, "Hey,
we're going to pay everybody in full," and it just doesn't
appear that that's possible and so we're struggling a little
bit with how to respond because we're turning around to
think well, if we pull Lever A, that that basically makes
the entirety of the process move and how does that look?

So it's been a little bit difficult for us to get there. We also obviously don't want to wind up in bankruptcy again any time soon, if we're taking back material amounts of debt based upon the (indiscernible) currency, which for the most part dictates to how you hear. We all know how power goes up and down pretty regularly. We've got a curve that we can look at there in connection. We'd like to plan for it, as opposed to bitcoin, which seems to be a little bit difficult to guess where it's going.

So we just want to make sure, you know, the Court understands that we understand that Judge Isgur has time at the end of the month. You know, that's fine. We're happy

- -- always happy to go talk to Judge Isgur if we need to.

 We'll see what we can work out on our own between here and then, you know? But if we don't get there, then obviously we know Your Honor is always open to giving everybody their day in court and we'll go through the evidence and all legal issues and then make rules.
- So I just wanted to give you a little bit of a feel for where we are, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: That it -- no, thank you. And again, it all gets back, I think. I think we're just saying the same thing. You don't know how to manage the uncertainty.
- There are a couple of things that pop into my head and again, I'm not a party, I'm not an advocate, I'm not a lawyer and so I'm going to keep those thoughts to myself.

 The only reason I bring that up is that we all know who made me what I am, that's why so many people hate him, and it might be worth having a conversation with Isgur sooner rather than later 'cause my guess is, he'll probably tell you pretty close to the same thing.
- But again, you guys do what you want to do.

 Again, I agree with everything you said and I will just wait to see what happens.
- MR. HANSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Mr. Lohan, I think you raised your 25 hand. Did you opt to pull it back?

MR. LOHAN: No, Your Honor. I just took it down, but I appreciate just one second of your time.

THE COURT: Of course.

MR. LOHAN: Again for the Record, Brian Lohan, Arnold Porter, on behalf of Barings, one of the Equipment Lenders.

And we agree with Mr. Schrock and Ms. Berkovich that this company needs a way out of bankruptcy. And we appreciate that the Plan they filed is definitely the means to push this case forward.

Now we disagree with our treatment that was proposed under the Plan, but like Mr. Miller, we have sent the Debtors a counterproposal and we appreciate that the Debtors have been constructive in their engagement and you know, we hope that the Debtors and the Court understands that we tried to work together, the Equipment Lenders as a group. And we did band together six Equipment Lenders to create a counterproposal to try to streamline the discussion.

Hopefully we don't need to, but of course, you know, we're always happy to participate in Plan mediation and we certainly appreciate Judge Isgur's time and guidance in the process.

THE COURT: I'm just trying to keep him busy. When he has idle time, he's a pain to deal with.

```
So no, it's -- I'm --
1
              MR. LOHAN: He's going to get --
 2
              THE COURT: -- I'm thinking you guys --
 3
 4
              MR. LOHAN: -- bored. He had his last big
 5
    confirmation hearing yesterday, he told us, so he's going to
 6
   need some stuff to fill up his summer.
7
              THE COURT:
                          See. What you heard, you got on
8
   video. I get the other 23 hours a day in person, so no,
   he's -- again, I quite frankly for this, I encourage that
 9
10
    conversation sooner rather than later 'cause I'm going to
11
   quess that he'll probably tell you something close to what I
12
   would say and then none of you will like it and then you'll
13
   go tweak it and then you'll find common ground.
14
              So I encourage that sooner rather than later.
15
   all seriously I do think that he would be really helpful,
   but again, you folks, as I say before, you're the best there
16
   are, so I trust your judgment. You do what you think is
17
18
    right for your constituents.
19
              Anyone else want to weigh in on any of this?
20
         (No audible response.)
21
              THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Schrock --
22
              MR. MEYER: Your Honor, can you --
2.3
              THE COURT: Mr. Meyer?
24
              MR. MEYER: Apologies, Your Honor. David Meyer of
25
    Vinson & Elkins on behalf of the Equity Committee.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

```
Just a few brief comments. I think no one can
dispute here that all the market trends are working in the
Debtors' favor. And I think --
          THE COURT: Today.
          MR. MEYER: -- Mr. Schrock and Ms. Berkovich --
today -- Mr. Schrock and Ms. Berkovich have highlighted that
well.
          Look, we, too, we believe this is a solvent Debtor
case. We believe the equity holders should receive
significant and meaningful recoveries. The thing, Your
Honor, our client has the -- seems to have the why-is-the-
company-here type attitude in the first instance, but we are
where we are and we, too, would welcome a conversation with
Judge Isgur if it comes to that and would eagerly
participate in mediation to the extent we're not able to
reach a constructive resolution in the upcoming weeks, but I
echo Mr. Schrock's comments that we're here and we'll
continue to work with the company and all of its
stakeholders.
          THE COURT:
                      Thank you.
          I expect nothing else because you are who you are.
          Mr. Schrock, let me ask you: I've given you --
          MR. SCHROCK: Yes.
          THE COURT: -- hearing date for Disclosure
Statement.
           Is there anything else that you believe that I
```

can do to help move the process forward?

2.3

MR. SCHROCK: I don't think so at this time, Your Honor. I think setting the dates, you know, for filing the Plan and giving us a Disclosure Statement hearing is really what we needed. I will say that we take to heart your comments about getting Judge Isgur involved. Certainly if we need to get him involved sooner, we won't wait.

We do have some ideas about how to bridge the gap, but I do want to note that, you know, the senior management team I think we'll have with us in court the next time we're together, so if we need to talk a little bit more about the business, you know, questions around the business, how it's performing, whether some of the issues that we're dealing with, we're going to be prepared to do that.

I do think also that the fact that this company -you know, we do intend -- it's going to be a public company
when we emerge and so when you have that kind of public
market that will be there for the company post-emergence, it
does provide, I think, compared to at least with a private
company, it provides a basis upon which you can start to
see, how can I solve for value? Are people going to be
right or are there going to be people wrong? You know, you
can pick up true-up mechanisms and the like and especially
if people think that the value is there, you know, the proof
will be in the pudding.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

```
So we're very cognizant of that and at the same
    time, you know, we're trying to do the right thing by
   everybody here. So we really appreciate the time and we're
   going to continue working on it.
              THE COURT: Got it. Thank you.
             Anyone else have any requests or any comments they
   want to make?
         (No audible response.)
              THE COURT: All right. Then everyone please have
   a wonderful and safe holiday. Don't fly -- joking, sort of.
              But anyway, please have a wonderful holiday and
    I'll see everybody soon.
             Thank you.
             We'll be adjourned until 2:30.
         (The parties thank the Court.)
         (Hearing adjourned at 2:02 p.m.)
               I certify that the foregoing is a correct
    transcript to the best of my ability from the electronic
    sound recording of the ZOOM/video/telephonic proceedings in
    the above-entitled matter.
22
    /S/ MARY D. HENRY
2.3
    CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF
    ELECTRONIC REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS, CET**337
    JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC
    JTT TRANSCRIPT #67471
25
    DATE FILED: JULY 14, 2023
```